

PILOT STUDY: EVALUATION OF INTERPROFESSIONAL EDUCATION THROUGH TELESIMULATION USING REMOTE AND LIVE FACILITATION

Marissa Lovett, MS; David Biffar, MS; Coy Collins, BSN; Karen Holder, FNP-BC; Mike Holcomb; Pete Yonsetto; Ronald Weinstein, MD, FCAP, FATA; Allan Hamilton, MD, FACS

BACKGROUND

Interprofessional education, often implemented through simulation education, has become a primarystrategytoimproveclinicalcommunication and health outcomes. Telesimulation may provide a new modality to expand interprofessional education to more learners by addressing the key barriers of cost and facilitator deficit within traditional simulation education.^{1, 2}

This pilot study was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of remote facilitation in comparison live facilitation for interprofessional telesimulation events and to develop a model for interactive, interprofessional training at two remote sites.^{3, 4}



Students at the ASTEC site observing the CPR scenario being completed at the NAHEC site.

METHODS

This study was conducted at two sites:

- Arizona Simulation Technology and Education Center (ASTEC)
- Northern Arizona Area Health Education Center (NAHEC)

Participants:

- 5 first year medical students ASTEC
- 2 second year osteopathic students NAHEC
- 3 fourth year pharmacy students NAHEC

The two locations were equipped with a high-fidelity patient simulator, all necessary medical supplies, and live telemedicine visual/audio connectivity. The learners completed four cardiopulmonary resuscitations (CPR) scenarios, two scenarios per site, that were each followed by a debrief. At each site, students were provided with one debrief led by the on-site facilitator and one debrief led by the remote facilitator. Students completed a survey at the end of the training, evaluated on a 5-point Likert scale.

Training schedule for students at NAHEC and ASTEC simulation sites.



RESULTS

The survey was completed by all learners (n=10) and returned within a week of the simulation training.

Survey Question:	Average Score ± Standard Deviation:
I could see who was speaking at the remote site.	4.5 ± 0.7071
I could follow along as the simulation scenario unfolded with relative ease.	4.5 ± 0.7071
I could easily identify what each learner was doing throughout the scenario.	4.3 ± 0.8233
I could hear the discussion between participants clearly.	3.9 ± 0.5676
I could hear the facilitator clearly.	5.0 ± 0.0000
As a remote learner, the objectives for this session were adequately addressed.	4.9 ± 0.3162
The facilitator was able to provide a valuable simulation training experience using distance learning technologies.	4.9 ± 0.3162
The remote facilitation was just as effective as the live facilitation.	4.2 ± 0.6325
I would recommend using tele-simulation (distance learning using simulation technology) for locations with low resource and low facilitator availability.	4.7 ± 0.6749

Learner post-simulation surveys scored on a 5-point Likert scale (1 – Strongly Visagree; 5 – Strongly Agree).

REFERENCES



Students at the ASTEC site completing the CPR scenario.



Students at the ASTEC and NAHEC sites engaging in a scenario debrief.







CONCLUSION

The pilot study suggests that telesimulation, with remote and live facilitation, is an effective strategy to provide interprofessional simulation education.

Improvements can be made to standardize the set-up of audio/visual technology for telesimulation education.

 Additional orientation can be done to encourage more verbal interaction between sites in future studies.

1 Khan NS, Shahnaz SI, Gomathi KG. Currently Available Tools and Teaching Strategies for the Interprofessiona Education of Students in Health Professions: Literature review. Sultan Uaboos University Medical Journa 2016;16(3):е277-е285.

2 Gilbert, JHV, Yan, J, Hoffman, SJ. A WHO Report: Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice, Journal of Allied Health, 2010; 39 (Supplement 1): 196-197.

3 Hayden, ME, Khatri, A., Kelly, HR, Yager, PH Salazar, GM. Mannequin-based Telesimulation: Increasing Access to Simulation-based Education, Academic Emergency Medicine, 2018: 25:144-147.

4 Sunguya BF, Hinthong W, Jimba M, Yasuoka J. Interprofessional Education for Whom? - Challenges and Lessons Learned from Its Implementation in Developed Countries and Their Application to Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. Zunt JR, ed. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(5):e96724